

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,264	08/02/2005	Takahiro Matsuzawa	05500/LH	1489	
1933 ·	7590 09/28/2007 OLTZ, GOODMAN & CH	HICK PC	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Aven		non, ro	MARTIN, LAURA E  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
16TH Floor	Floor YORK, NY 10001-7708  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2853		PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,					
				DEL MEDVA AODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		·	$\mathcal{IH}$	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/544,264	MATSUZAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Laura E. Martin	2853	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SH WHII - Exte after - If NO - Faili Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a risid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 August 2005.		
2a)[_				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2005</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docume  Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmer		,, <u>,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
2)	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/05, 10/26/06, 5/16/07.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10, 17-20, 22, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takabayashi et al. (JP 2002-307755 A).

### Takabayashi et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 17: jetting recording ink containing a color material onto a recording medium by a recording head, and colorless ink for improving gloss onto the recording medium by the recording head to perform image formation [0048]; a control unit to control the image forming unit [0038-0050]; and determining an adhered amount of the colorless ink per unit area in response to an adhered amount of the recording ink per unit area [0050].

As per claims 2 and 18: a jetted position of the colorless ink is determined in response to a jetted position of the recording ink [0050].

As per claims 3 and 19: the jetted position of the colorless ink is determined preferentially from a position that is not adjacent to or overlapped on the jetted position of the recording ink (preferentially does not mean necessarily).

As per claims 4 and 20: the adhered amount of colorless ink is increased in a region where the adhered amount of recording ink is a predetermined amount or less

Art Unit: 2853

than in a region where the adhered amount of recording ink is more than the predetermined amount [0050.

As per claims 6 and 22: the sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area is 2 cc/m<sup>2</sup> or more [0018].

As per claims 8 and 24: the unit area for the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink is set as a block formed of an aggregate of n (n>1) pieces of pixels ([0050]- each drop = 1 pixel -there are more than one drops on the image).

As per claims 10 and 26: the unit area for the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink is defined as one pixel, and a sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink in the unit area is set at a predetermined amount or more [0050].

Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11-13, 17-20, 24, 25, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishimoto et al. (US 6877850 B2).

# Ishimoto et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 17: jetting recording ink containing a color material onto a recording medium by a recording head, and colorless ink for improving gloss onto the recording medium by the recording head to perform image formation; a control unit to control the image forming unit (column 5, lines 20-41) and determining an adhered amount of the colorless ink per unit area in response to an adhered amount of the recording ink per unit area (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20).

Art Unit: 2853

As per claims 2 and 18: a jetted position of the colorless ink is determined in response to a jetted position of the recording ink (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20).

As per claims 3 and 19: the jetted position of the colorless ink is determined preferentially from a position that is not adjacent to or overlapped on the jetted position of the recording ink (preferentially does not mean necessarily).

As per claims 4 and 20: the adhered amount of colorless ink is increased in a region where the adhered amount of recording ink is a predetermined amount or less than in a region where the adhered amount of recording ink is more than the predetermined amount (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20).

As per claims 8 and 24: the unit area for the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink is set as a block formed of an aggregate of n (n>1) pieces of pixels (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20; each drop = 1 pixel –there are more than one drops on the image).

As per claims 9 and 27: a jetted position of the colorless ink jetted onto the block is determined preferentially from a pixel in which the adhered amount of the recording ink is smaller (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20).

As per claims 11 and 27: the recording ink contains fine particles (column 3, lines 37-55).

As per claims 12 and 28: the recording medium includes a micro-porous recording medium (column 1, lines 18-32).

As per claims 13 and 29: a surface layer of the recording medium contains a thermoplastic resin (column 9, lines 1-42).

Art Unit: 2853

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 15, 16, 21, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi et al. (JP 2002-307755 A).

As per claims 5 and 21: Takabayashi discloses an ink amount of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area being a predetermined amount of or more; however, it do not disclose specifically the unit area being set at 1 mm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention because it is well known in the art that a unit area can be at any measurable amount.

As per claims 15 and 31: Takabayashi discloses the claimed invention except for the light absorbance change in mixing the recording ink and the colorless ink with each other is less than 5%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the amount of different inks to change the light absorbance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

As per claims 1 and 17: Takabayashi discloses jetting recording ink containing a color material onto a recording medium by a recording head, and colorless ink for

Art Unit: 2853

improving gloss onto the recording medium by the recording head to perform image formation [0048]; a control unit to control the image forming unit [0038-0050] and determining an adhered amount of the colorless ink per unit area in response to an adhered amount of the recording ink per unit area [0050]. Ishimoto teaches the claimed invention except for the light absorbance change in mixing the recording ink and the colorless ink with each other is less than 5%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the amount of different inks to change the light absorbance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 5, 15, 21 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimoto et al. (US 6877850 B2).

As per claims 5 and 21: Ishimoto discloses an ink amount of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area being a predetermined amount of or more; however, it do not disclose specifically the unit area being set at 1 mm. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention because it is well known in the art that a unit area can be at any measurable amount.

As per claims 15 and 31: Ishimoto discloses the claimed invention except for the light absorbance change in mixing the recording ink and the colorless ink with each other is less than 5%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the amount of different inks to change the

Art Unit: 2853

light absorbance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

As per claims 1 and 17: Ishimoto discloses jetting recording ink containing a color material onto a recording medium by a recording head, and colorless ink for improving gloss onto the recording medium by the recording head to perform image formation; a control unit to control the image forming unit (column 5, lines 20-41) and determining an adhered amount of the colorless ink per unit area in response to an adhered amount of the recording ink per unit area (column 4, line 65-column 5, line 20). Ishimoto teaches the claimed invention except for the light absorbance change in mixing the recording ink and the colorless ink with each other is less than 5%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the amount of different inks to change the light absorbance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 7 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi et al. (JP 2002-307755 A) in view of Onishi et al. (US 2001/0015745 A1).

## Takabayashi et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

Claims 1 and 17

# Takabayashi et al. do not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 7 and 23: the sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area is less than 13 cc/m<sup>2</sup>.

Art Unit: 2853

### Onishi et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 7 and 23: the sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area is less than 13 cc/m² [0018].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the recording method taught by Takabayashi et al. with the disclosure of Onishi et al. in order to provide a high quality ink.

Claims 7 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lshimoto et al. (US 6877850 B2) in view of Onishi et al. (US 2001/0015745 A1).

# Ishimoto et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

Claims 1 and 17

## Ishimoto et al. do not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 7 and 23: the sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area is less than 13 cc/m<sup>2</sup>.

## Onishi et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 7 and 23: the sum total of the adhered amounts of the colorless ink and the recording ink in the unit area is less than 13 cc/m<sup>2</sup> [0018].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the recording method taught by Ishimoto et al. with the disclosure of Onishi et al. in order to provide a high quality ink.

Art Unit: 2853

Claims 14 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimoto et al. (US 6877850 B2) in view of Shigemura (US 2001/0017642 A1). Ishimoto et al. disclose the following claim limitations:

Claims 13 and 29.

### Ishimoto et al. do not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 14 and 30: a fixing process including heating or pressurization is implemented for the recording medium on which the recording ink and the colorless ink are jetted.

## Shigemura discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 14 and 30: a fixing process including heating or pressurization is implemented for the recording medium on which the recording ink and the colorless ink are jetted [0204].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method taught by Ishimoto with the disclosure of Shigemura in order to provide a higher quality image.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/544,264 Page 10

Art Unit: 2853

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER